

# New Funding Model for ELC and SAC Expert Group Meeting Thursday 25<sup>th</sup> June 2020 Skype for Business Minutes

## **Participants**

Michael Scanlan (Chair)
Tove Mogstad Slinde
Eva Lloyd
Edward Melhuish
Tim Callan
Rory O'Donnell
Bernie McNally (Department of Children and Youth Affairs)
Anne-Marie Brooks (Department of Children and Youth Affairs)
Philip Crosby (Department of Education and Skills)
Gillian Martin (Secretariat, Department of Children and Youth Affairs)
Laura Brady (Secretariat, Department of Children and Youth Affairs)
David Carabini (Department of Children and Youth Affairs)

### Also in attendance (for parts of day)

Heidi Amot (Norwegian Ministry of Education and Research)
Gillian Paull (Frontier Economics)
Cavin Wilson (Frontier Economics)

## **Apologies**

Niamh Callaghan (Department of Public Expenditure and Reform)

## Welcome

The Chair welcomed the Expert Group to the meeting and noted the apologies as indicated above. Internet connectivity issues were also noted.

# Minutes from last meeting and matters arising

The minutes of the meetings of 19<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> May 2020 were adopted with a minor edit. It was agreed that the minutes and agendas would be published.

No objections were raised to publishing certain papers from previous meetings, a list of which was circulated to the Group in advance of the meeting.

The draft Guiding Principles, circulated to the Group in advance of the meeting, were discussed. Some slight amendments were suggested. The Chair agreed to re-draft and recirculate.

# **Updates from Secretariat**

The Secretariat indicated that the legal advice referred to at previous meetings is out of date and out of context and that relevant legal advice could be sought by the Group during Phase II of the project.



#### **Topical Issues**

Anne-Marie Brooks provided an overview of recent topical issues, outlining developments arising from COVID-19 and the re-opening of settings on 29 June. There was also a focus on the draft Programme for Government.

The Group queried what endorsement of the draft Programme for Government would mean for the project schedule and timelines. Bernie McNally indicated that clarity would be sought when a new Government is formed and a new Minister in place.

#### **Presentation from Norwegian Ministry**

Heidi Åmot, Advisor at the Department of Kindergartens and Schools, Ministry of Education and Research, gave a presentation on Norway's Funding Model, including a recent review of the regulation on private kindergartens (ie. ECEC) that involved a public hearing. This was followed by a question and answer session.

The Chair and the Group thanked Ms. Åmot for her presentation and asked that any further questions on the Norwegian funding model be directed to her through the Secretariat.

# Research Paper: Set 1, Working Paper 2: International approaches to funding ELC / SAC

Frontier Economics provided an overview of WP2, including a summary of key findings relating to international approaches to funding ELC/SAC in Ireland and in other jurisdictions.

The Group noted that some confusion regarding Ireland's approach to funding and in particular whether the subsidy schemes are predominantly supply or demand side. The Group also suggested that the title of the paper is revisited given the narrow focus of funding in the paper (i.e. on reducing fees charged to parents). While the paper takes this narrower focus, the Group asked if conditions attached to funding in the various jurisdictions could be listed to provide a fuller understanding on funding approaches. The Group also asked if data on hours for jurisdictions could be added (where available) and that eligibility for free hours in England is clarified.

Tove Mogstad Slinde offered to share some information on Norway and advised that the reference to the OECD's report on Norway should be inserted.

Frontier Economics welcomed the comments, responded to issues raised and agreed to consider any further written comments received, as well as undertaking to take comments from the previous meeting on-board regarding the use of GDP. Members of the Group undertook to revert to the Secretariat with observations in writing on WP2 by 2 July.

Research Paper: Set 1, Working Paper 4: Potential mechanisms to control fees charged to parents Frontier Economics provided an overview of WP4, including a summary of key findings relating to potential mechanisms to control fees charged to parents.

The Group noted that the equity purpose of fee caps was not developed in the paper. The Group also raised the impact of Covid-19 on the issue of fee controls and advised that impact of fee controls on supply and demand would have to be considered in both the short-term and long- term. It was also noted that fee caps require other policy measures if they are to be effective. Therefore, fee caps should be examined within the wider system.



Frontier Economics welcomed the comments, responded to issues raised and agreed to consider any further written comments received. The members undertook to revert to the Secretariat with observations in writing on WP4 by 2 July.

#### **Research Papers: Set 2 Proposal**

Before leaving the meeting Frontier Economics advised that evidence of effectiveness, thinking about a framework, using case studies, and key lessons, would be a valuable way to approach the next set of working papers, rather than the comprehensive international review. They advised that this approach would yield more useful information on effectiveness of funding approaches.

Anne-Marie Brooks presented a proposal for the second set of working papers. Following the presentation, the Chair asked the Group to consider if there was anything in the Terms of Reference not captured in the working papers proposed or already underway. Clarification was sought on childminders and the extent to which the funding model will apply to childminders. The role of capital funding was also raised.

There was broad agreement that papers 8 and 9 on quality and inclusion should proceed. In developing the proposal for paper 8, Frontier should be asked to consider the European Quality Framework and the five domains to frame the working paper.

The Group asked that consideration by given to combining Papers 6 and 7 on optimal service and collaboratives.

## **Project Plan**

Anne-Marie presented a revised meeting schedule and stakeholder engagement options. The Group approved the revised schedule and agreed to revert on dates proposed. The Secretariat indicated that a draft call for submissions and plan for a focus group exercise will be put to the Group for agreement at the July meeting.

## **AOB/ CLOSE**

The next meeting of the Group will take place on Wednesday 29 July.

## **ENDS**



# Appendix I: Actions arising

	Subject	Action	Responsibility	Deadline
1.	Minutes of Meeting 6	Draft minutes of meeting	Secretariat	2 July
		produced and circulated		
2.	Papers to be published	Papers to be published as	Secretariat	N/A
		agreed by Expert Group		
3.	Draft Guiding Principles	To be revised to include:	Chair	22 July
		1. child well-being		
4.	Presentation from Norway	Information to be shared on:	Tove Mogstad	N/A
		1. School-Age Childcare	Slinde	
		2. State Aid		
		3. Review of funding		
5.	Suite 2 of Research Working	Proposal to be revised taking	Secretariat	22 July
	Papers	meeting discussion into account		
6.	WP2 and WP 4	Written feedback on both	Expert Group	2 July
		papers to be submitted to the		
		Secretariat		
7.	Communication to issue to ELC	Communication to issue to	Secretariat	Carry-over (to be put to
	sector	sector with consultation update		group at July meeting
				with stakeholder
_	Delevent needing metavial and	Delevent reading metarial /	TC manufactor /	engagement options)
8.	Relevant reading material and upcoming conferences	Relevant reading material / upcoming conferences	EG members / Secretariat	Ongoing
	upcoming conferences	circulated	Secretariat	
9.	Quality items	List of Quality issues/items that	DCYA /	Carry-over
Э.	Quality items	are in scope for the Expert	Secretariat	Carry-over
		Group	Secretariat	
10.	Fees List	Explore the potential of data	Secretariat	Carry-over
	1 555 2.55	analysis of fees list data	330.314.14	
11.	Interaction between WDP and	Proposal due from Mathias	Secretariat	Carry-over
	Funding Model Groups	Urban		,
12.	Shared IT Platform	Progress update on shared IT	Secretariat	Carry-over
		Platform and Public Facing Page		-
17.	Site Visits	Schedule of site visits (to be	Secretariat	Carry-over
		revisited)		
18.	ESRI project	Engage with ESRI to finalise	Secretariat	Carry-over
		SWITCH workplan 2020 and		
		identify possible project relating		
		to the work of the EG		
19.	Fair Deal Scheme	Paper / presentation on the	Secretariat	22 July
		funding model for the Nursing		
		Home Sector in Ireland		
20.	Childminding Action Plan	Paper / presentation on the	Secretariat	22 July
		draft Childminding Action Plan		